# 4. Sustainment, Distribution and Use

### 4.1 Sustainment Plan

| a) | Is a comprehensive sustainment/distribution/use plan included in the CAFTOP Annex?   | YES | NO |
|----|--|-----|----|
| b) | Has a digital sustainment format been identified for each TO?  |     | NO |
| c) | Have contracts and/or internal processes been changed to make all new data available in the digital sustainment format(s)?             | YES | NO |
| d) | Will the Contractor Integrated Technical Information System (CITIS) approach be used to establish an Air Force/contractor TO database? | YES | NO |
| e) | Does the sustainment/distribution/use plan include eliminating all supplements?  | YES | NO |
| f) | Once data is in a digital format, is it maintained in a digital format without any new supplements?                                    | YES | NO |
| g) | Have contracts and/or internal processes been changed to eliminate all supplements and deliver change pages using the BCU/RAC process? | YES | NO |
| h) | Are BCUs used for updating all routine and many urgent changes?  | YES | NO |
| i) | Have specific intervals for BCUs been negotiated between the SM and the Lead Command?  | YES | NO |
| j) | Does the plan identify TO groupings for BCU release (when required)?   | YES | NO |
| k) | Is there an agreement between the MAJCOM and SM to issue Out-of-Cycle BCUs to accommodate urgent modifications if needed?              | YES | NO |
| l) | Are emergency and urgent updates incorporated into a TO using RACs?  | YES | NO |
| m) | Is there a plan identifying who will incorporate the RAC into the baseline TO (e.g., contractor, TO Manager, TODO)?                    | YES | NO |
| n) | Has training been properly addressed to update, distribute and use digital TOs?  | YES | NO |

## **4.2 Configuration Control**

| a) | Has a single agency (e.g., prime contractor or SM) been identified to maintain    | YES | NO |
|----|---|-----|----|
| ŕ  | configuration control over the TO sustainment database?                           |     |    |
| b) | If the prime contractor will be responsible for configuration control of the TO   | YES | NO |
|    | sustainment database but is not yet on contract, is there an established plan and |     |    |
|    | timeline for contract modification?   |     |    |
| c) | If multimedia environment or multiple distribution methods are used, is there a   | YES | NO |
|    | configuration management plan for distribution to ensure concurrent release?      |     |    |

## 4.3 Funding

| a) | Are detailed funding requirements included?  |     | NO |
|----|--|-----|----|
| b) | Do the funding profiles address TO requirements for all in-work and projected modifications? | YES | NO |
| c) | Have sustainment costs for each FY in the FYDP been identified?                              | YES | NO |

## 4.4 Distribution and Use

| a) | Are all TOs delivered IAW Lead Command requirements?  |                       |      | NO |
|----|---|-----------------------|------|----|
| b) | Has a standardized distribution format(s) been established for each TO?   |                       |      | NO |
| c) | If digital formats other than IPDF/SGML are used as a target distribution format, is there a detailed Cost Benefit Analysis included as justification?  |                       |      | NO |
| d) | Have BCU distribution methodologies been established to accommodate delivery of digital and/or paper TOs?   |                       |      | NO |
| e) | Have Out-of-Cycle BCU distribution methodologies been established to accommodate delivery of digital and/or paper TOs?  |                       |      | NO |
| f) | Has a plan been established to issue Out-of-Cycle BCU notification messages (e.g., sent via AUTODIN or E-mail) to notify users that an Out-of-Cycle BCU has been released?                          |                       |      | NO |
| g) | Have RAC distribution methodologies been established to accommodate delivery of digital and/or paper RACs?  |                       |      | NO |
| h) | Has a plan been established to issue RAC notification messages (e.g., sent via AUTODIN or E-mail) to notify users that a RAC has been released?   |                       |      | NO |
| i) | Is the required infrastructure to support distribution and use of digital TOs available both at home station and at any deployed location?  |                       |      | NO |
| j) | If the required infrastructure to support distribution and use of digital TOs is not available, has a plan been established addressing timeline and funding to provide the required infrastructure? |                       |      | NO |
| k) | If digital viewing is a requirement, have arrangements been made to provide the viewing software to all users (including AETC, the Air National Guard and AF Reserve)?                              |                       |      | NO |
| l) | Have throughput (pipeline) requirements necessary to accommodate transmission of digital data for digital distribution been addressed?  |                       | YES  | NO |
| m) | Has security been addressed to protect digital distribution of Sensitive-but-<br>Unclassified (Distribution Statements B through F) TOs?  |                       | YES  | NO |
| n) | Which systems/media are to be used for distribution? Check all that apply.  | □ Paper □ IMDS        |      |    |
|    |   | ☐ CD-ROM ☐ existing I | _ANs |    |
|    | □ JCALS □ future L  |                       |      |    |
|    |   | ☐ Internet ☐ other    |      |    |